21.1 Module Goals

In this module, we introduce a family of ideas that are connected to optimization and machine learning, which are some of the modern pillars of EECS. You’ll learn more about these concepts in EE 127 and EE 189.

To give a motivating example, recall from Module 1 that we learned how to find solutions to consistent systems of linear equations \( A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \). However, in many applications of machine learning, we encounter inconsistent systems of equations when trying to learn a model that describes observed data. In the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic, we know from epidemiological models that the number of infected individuals will grow exponentially with time until herd immunity kicks in. That is, if \( k \) is the number of days elapsed since the first infections, we expect \( e^{\beta k} \) individuals to be infected on day \( k \), where \( \beta \) is the rate at which the epidemic progresses. Moreover, if \( \alpha \) is the fraction of individuals that require intensive care, then we anticipate needing \( \alpha e^{\beta k} \) intensive care beds on day \( k \) to care for the infected population. In real life, we don’t know \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), but they are extremely important for anticipating resource needs and allocations. Furthermore, real life is somewhat random, so the number of infected individuals will not be precisely equal to \( e^{\beta k} \), even if we knew what \( \beta \) was. What we do have is observations: on each day \( k \), we observe the total number of individuals \( i_k \) that are infected (or, at least, can estimate this based on widespread testing), and we also observe the number of individuals \( c_k \) who are admitted to intensive care. From these observations, we want to estimate \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), so that we can anticipate how things will unfold in the days to come. Our model predicts

\[
\begin{align*}
    i_k &\approx e^{\beta k}, \\
    c_k &\approx \alpha e^{\beta k},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \alpha, \beta \) are unknown. By taking logarithms, we can convert this into a system of linear equations in two scalar unknowns \( \log(\alpha) \) and \( \beta \):

\[
\begin{align*}
    \log(i_k) &\approx \beta k; \\
    \log(c_k) &\approx \log(\alpha) + \beta k.
\end{align*}
\]

Since these relations hold only approximately, the system of equations is likely to be inconsistent if we have more than two data points. Therefore, how should we find a “good” solution to this inconsistent system of equations? You’ll learn how to do this and more in the coming lectures.

As tomography was a guiding example in Module 1, this Module uses the practical example of localization — figuring out where you are — as a source of examples and a connection to the lab. However, just like in previous modules, the ideas we will learn and the skills that we develop are far more generally applicable.
21.2 Introduction: Positioning Systems

GPS (the Global Positioning System) is a navigational system that we use all the time to tell us where we are. In this module, we’ll explore the *signal processing* underlying GPS, and you’ll build your own auditory positioning system in the lab.

How does GPS work? A receiver, such as your cell phone, receives messages from satellites orbiting the earth. From these messages, the receiver is able to determine how far away it is from each satellite. The receiver also knows the positions of each satellite.

The distance to the first satellite defines a set of possible locations where the receiver could be – a circle (or a sphere in 3D) around the satellite. Each other satellite also defines a circle of possible locations. By
combining the measurements from all of the satellites, the receiver can determine it’s position!

However, in real life, our measurements in will be imperfect, or “noisy” for short (similar to the COVID-19 example mentioned previously). Can we use extra measurements from more satellites to reduce the effects of noise? In this module, we’ll also look at how to combine many measurements together to get a better estimate of position.

We can break this process into two parts, which we’ll cover in detail in the upcoming notes:

1. Find the distances from the receiver to the satellites, based on the messages received.
2. Combine the measurements from each satellite to determine location.

In the upcoming notes, we’ll explore all parts of this process in more detail. The same techniques we’ll cover underly virtually all modern communication systems (e.g., wifi, cell communication, ethernet, ...). In this note, we’ll introduce some fundamentals that will be built on in the rest of the module.
21.3 Inner Products

**Definition of Inner Product:** The (Euclidean) inner product between two vectors $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as:

$$\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \equiv \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \equiv \vec{x}^T \vec{y}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$= x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \cdots + x_n y_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In physics, inner products are often called *dot products* (denoted by $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$) and can be used to calculate values such as work. In this class we will use the notation $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$ for the inner product, for reasons explained in the next section.

**Example 21.1 (Inner product of two vectors):** Compute the inner product of the two vectors $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$.

$$\langle \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$= -1 \times 1 + 3.5 \times 0 + 0 \times 2$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$= -1 + 0 + 0 = -1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

21.4 Inner Products and Properties

We have already seen in Module 1 that the inner product between column vectors $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as the vector-vector product $\vec{x}^T \vec{y}$. So, why do we now insist on using the notation $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$? The answer is that one can generically define an inner product on certain vector spaces, and the bracket notation is the commonly accepted terminology. Different types of inner products can be useful for different applications (e.g., the Fourier Transform in EE120 coincides with a very special example of an inner product).

In general, if $\mathbb{V}$ is a real vector space, we say that the mapping $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ is an inner product if it satisfies the following properties:

1. **Symmetry:** $\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{u} \rangle$ for all $\vec{u}, \vec{v} \in \mathbb{V}$.
2. **Linearity:** $\langle \alpha \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = \alpha \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle$ and $\langle \vec{u} + \vec{w}, \vec{v} \rangle = \langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle + \langle \vec{w}, \vec{v} \rangle$ for all $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{V}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
3. **Positive-definiteness:** $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{V}$, with equality only if $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$. 
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In this class, we generally stick with the vector space \( \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}^n \) and the usual inner product \( \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \vec{x}^T \vec{y} \), which satisfies the requisite properties above due to the properties of vector-vector multiplication. However, one can define other inner products on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), as the following example shows.

**Example 21.2 (Example of inner product on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \))**: Let \( Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \). You can check that the following defines an inner product:
\[
\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \vec{x}^T Q \vec{y}, \quad \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2.
\]
It turns out that such “weighted” inner products are very closely connected to eigenvalues and eigenvectors (of the matrix \( Q \)), and play a big role in optimization and machine learning.

An important example of an inner product on the vector space of square-integrable functions is as follows:

**Example 21.3 (Example of inner product on square-integrable functions)**: Let \( \mathbb{V} \) consist of the set of functions \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( \int |f(x)|^2 \, dx < \infty \). It turns out that this is a vector space. An example of an inner product on this space is given by
\[
\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(x)g(x) \, dx, \quad f, g \in \mathbb{V}.
\]
This particular inner product plays a big role in the continuous-time Fourier Transform (which you encounter in EE120).

As noted, we generally consider \( \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \vec{x}^T \vec{y} \) in this class, but it is good to be aware of the broader context (and hence our insistence on adopting the bracket notation).

### 21.5 Orthogonal Vectors

Two vectors \( \vec{x}, \vec{y} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) are **orthogonal** if their inner product is zero, i.e. \( \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = 0 \) (this definition also applies to more general inner products). The words perpendicular and orthogonal mean the same thing, but when working in dimensions greater than 2D or 3D, the term orthogonal tends to be more commonly used.

Here’s an example, in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Let’s say \( \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \). Then, \( \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = (1)(4) + (1)(-1) + (3)(1) = 0 \).

Thus \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \) are orthogonal.

Note that the standard unit vectors are always orthogonal to each other.

### 21.6 Special Vector Operations

The inner product is a basic building block for many operations. Here are some useful operations you can perform with the inner product calculation using different vectors as inputs.

These things are often important in computer programming contexts because computers (and programming languages) are often optimized to be able to do vector operations like inner products very fast. So, seeing an inner-product way of representing something can often speed up calculations considerably.
Sum of Components

\[ \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T, [x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T \rangle = x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n \]  

(8)

Average

\[ \langle \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \cdots & \frac{1}{n} \end{bmatrix}^T, [x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T \rangle = \frac{x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n} \]  

(9)

Sum of Squares

\[ \langle [x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T, [x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T \rangle = x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \]  

(10)

Selective Sum Here, the first vector has values of 0’s and 1’s, where 1’s correspond to the values of the second vector that are to be used in the sum. This, for example, can take the place of a for loop checking every element of a vector.

\[ \langle [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 1]^T, [x_0 \ x_1 \ \cdots \ x_n]^T \rangle = x_2 + x_4 + \cdots + x_n \]  

(11)

21.7 Norms

The Euclidean Norm of a vector is defined as:

\[ \| \vec{x} \| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2} = \sqrt{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle}, \]  

(12)

where the inner product on the right is the Euclidean inner product.

Why is the norm important? The norm of a vector is also the magnitude of the vector (or length of the arrow). This corresponds to the usual notion of distance in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) or \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). It is interesting to note that the set of points with equal Euclidean norm is a circle in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) or a sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \).

More generally, any choice of inner product will give rise to a corresponding norm via defining \( \| \vec{v} \| := \sqrt{\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle} \). Although they can be very useful, these norms will not generally correspond to the Euclidean length that we are all familiar with. We won’t go down this route in this class.

21.8 Properties of Norms

The following properties are true for all norms (not just the 2-norm).

Non-negativity: For \( \vec{x} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[ \| \vec{x} \| \geq 0 \]

Zero Vector: The zero vector is the only vector with a norm of zero. For \( \vec{x} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[ \| \vec{x} \| = 0 \text{ if and only if } \vec{x} = \vec{0} \]
**Scalar Multiplication:** For vector \( \vec{x} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and scalar \( \alpha \),

\[
\|\alpha \vec{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\vec{x}\|
\]

**Triangle Inequality:** For vectors \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \),

\[
\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| \leq \|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|
\]

### 21.9 Interpretation of the Inner Product

Now that we have defined the inner product, it’s time to get an intuition about what an inner product is.

First we take the unit vector \( \vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \) and a general unit vector in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix} \). Note that \( \vec{x} \) is a unit vector because \( \|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\cos^2 \alpha + \sin^2 \alpha} = 1 \).

When we draw the vectors, the angle between them is \( \alpha \). We can calculate

\[
\langle \vec{e}_1, \vec{x} \rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \\
= (1)(\cos \alpha) + (0)(\sin \alpha) \\
= \cos \alpha
\]

This shows that the inner product of two unit vectors is the cosine of the angle between them when one of the vectors is pointed along the x-axis. Let’s try to prove this for two general unit vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta \\ \sin \beta \end{bmatrix} \). (All unit vectors can be represented in this form).
Let’s plug these vectors into the definition of the inner product. We’ll use the trigonometric identity \( \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \sin \alpha \sin \beta = \cos (\alpha - \beta) \).

\[
\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha \\ \sin \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta \\ \sin \beta \end{bmatrix} \rangle \\
= \cos \alpha \cos \beta + \sin \alpha \sin \beta \\
= \cos (\alpha - \beta) \\
= \cos \theta
\] (13)

We’ve shown now that the inner product is the cosine of the angle between any two unit vectors.

What about any two general (nonzero) vectors \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \)? (Now we will let \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \) be any length). We can first convert them into the unit vectors by dividing by the norm. This is called normalization.

\[
\hat{x} = \frac{\vec{x}}{||x||} \quad \hat{y} = \frac{\vec{y}}{||y||}
\]

Since the direction of a vector does not change when it’s multiplied by a scalar (and the norm is a scalar), then we know that the normalized vectors will point in the same direction as the original vectors. The angle between \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \) is only dependent on their directions, so \( \theta \) is unchanged by dividing by the norm. Therefore, we can apply Eq. (13) on the normalized vectors:

\[
\langle \frac{\vec{x}}{||x||}, \frac{\vec{y}}{||y||} \rangle = \cos \theta
\] (14)
We can then use linearity property of inner products since norms are scalars:

\[
\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \cos \theta \\
\|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\| = \|\vec{x}\| \|\vec{y}\| \cos \theta
\] 

(15)

Now we have a geometric interpretation of the inner product: the inner product of vectors \( \vec{x} \) and \( \vec{y} \) is their lengths multiplied by the angle between them. One remarkable observation is that the inner product does not depend on the coordinate system the vectors are in, it only depends on the relative angle between these vectors and their length. This is the reason it is very useful in physics, where the physical laws do not depend on the coordinate system used to measure them. This is also the reason why this property holds in higher dimensions as well. For any two vectors we can look at the plane passing through them and the angle between them is the angle \( \theta \) measured in the plane.

21.10 The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality relates the inner product of two vectors to their length. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality states:

\[
|\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle| \leq \|\vec{x}\| \|\vec{y}\|
\]

(16)

We can prove this by recognizing that \( |\cos \theta| \leq 1 \) for all real \( \theta \). Thus:

\[
|\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle| = \|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\| \cos \theta \\
= \|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\| \cos \theta \\
\leq \|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\|.
\]

(17)

21.11 \( p \)-Norms [optional]

Sometimes, we write the Euclidean norm of a vector as \( \|\vec{x}\|_2 \), with a subscript 2. The subscript differentiates the Euclidean norm (or 2-norm) from other useful norms. In general, the \( p \)-norm is defined as:

\[
\|\vec{x}\|_p = (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p + \ldots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p}
\]

(18)

These other norms might feel esoteric but turn out to be useful in many engineering settings. Follow-on courses like EE 127 and EE 123 will show you how these can be useful in applications like machine learning.

If no subscript is used, you can assume the 2-norm.
21.12 Projections

For vectors $\vec{x}, \vec{y}$, we define the projection of $\vec{x}$ onto $\vec{y}$ according to:

$$\text{proj}_{\vec{y}} \vec{x} := \frac{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle}{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{y} \rangle} \vec{y} = \frac{\langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle}{\|\vec{y}\|^2} \vec{y}. $$

By definition, we see that $\text{proj}_{\vec{y}} \vec{x} \in \text{Span}\{\vec{y}\}$. Moreover, if we define the vector

$$\vec{e} = \vec{x} - \text{proj}_{\vec{y}} \vec{x},$$

then, by definitions, it turns out that

$$\langle \vec{e}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{x} - \text{proj}_{\vec{y}} \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle - \langle \vec{y}, \vec{x} \rangle = 0.$$ 

That is, the vectors $\vec{e}$ and $\vec{y}$ are orthogonal. This “orthogonality principle” gives rise to a very important fact: The vector $\text{proj}_{\vec{y}} \vec{x}$ is the unique vector in $\text{Span}\{\vec{y}\}$ that is closest to $\vec{x}$ as measured by the norm $\| \cdot \|$. Stated mathematically,

$$\text{proj}_{\vec{y}} \vec{x} = \arg \min_{\vec{v} \in \text{Span}\{\vec{y}\}} \| \vec{x} - \vec{v} \|.$$ 

We’ll discuss this more when we talk about the problem of least-squares. However, you might want to draw a picture to gain an intuitive understanding (an example is given in the practice problems).

Note: Surprisingly, the above only uses generic properties of the inner product, and does not need to the specific choice of the Euclidean inner product to work out.

21.13 Practice Problems

These practice problems are also available in an interactive form on the course website.

1. We can verify that 2 vectors are orthogonal to each other by:
   
   (a) Multiplying their magnitudes together and checking if it is 1.
   (b) Taking the outer product of the two and checking if it is 0.
   (c) Multiplying the magnitudes and the cosine of the angle between them and checking if it is 0.
   (d) Multiplying the magnitudes and dividing by the cosine of the angle between them and checking if it is 1.

2. True or False: If two vectors $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$ are orthogonal, then $\|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\| = \|\vec{u}\| + \|\vec{v}\|$.

3. True or False: If two vectors $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$ are linearly dependent, then $|\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle| = \|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|$.

4. Do the following functions satisfy the all of the inner product properties for vectors in $\mathbb{R}^2$? If not, give a counterexample.
   
   (a) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \vec{y}$
5. Consider the vectors $\tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$. Plot the vectors $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ and 

$$\tilde{p} = \langle \tilde{y}, \tilde{x} \rangle \frac{\tilde{x}}{\langle \tilde{y}, \tilde{y} \rangle}.$$

The vector $\tilde{p}$ is called the projection of $\tilde{x}$ onto $\tilde{y}$; does the picture you drew suggest why this is appropriate terminology?